Delhi Metropolitan Education
Affiliated to GGSIP University, New Delhi & Approved by Bar Council of India

RESEARCH ARTICLE
doi: 10.53361/dmejm.v5i01.04

Leveraging Artificial Intelligence In Business Activation: Legal and IPR Perspectives

Lavanya Bhagra[1*], Tushar Singh[2]

[1]*Ph.D. Scholar at Maharashtra National Law University, Nagpur*
[2]*Head of Growth at Legal Pay, a Litigation Finance company incorporated in 2019.*

Abstract

This paper delves into the burgeoning intersection of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and business activation, scrutinizing the legal and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) perspectives that govern this integration. The research adopts a doctrinal methodology, drawing insights from contemporary literature including books, journals, and papers. It aims to comprehensively understand AI's role in business processes and the ensuing legal implications. The study commences with an exploration of AI's escalating influence on business operations and proceeds to dissect the legal frameworks and IPR issues pertinent to AI inventions. A proposed conceptual model for AI integration addresses data privacy, security, and transparency concerns. The paper further investigates the patentability of AI algorithms and inventions, copyright ramifications for AI-generated content, and confidentiality challenges associated with AI models. Authorship and ownership debates are also examined, particularly concerning AI-generated work and employee-created AI within employer rights. The paper highlights ethical dilemmas surrounding AI ownership and accountability, underscored by challenges safeguarding AI-related IP. Real-world case studies provide a pragmatic lens through which the paper discusses how businesses navigate these legalities. The culmination of this inquiry offers pragmatic recommendations for businesses contemplating AI adoption. The paper acknowledges limitations due to the rapid evolution of technology potentially outdating legislative measures. Hence, it advocates for ongoing legislative updates to remain congruent with technological advancements.

ARTICLE INFO

***Correspondence:**
lavanya1908@gmail.com
Ph.D. Scholar at Maharashtra National Law University, Nagpur

Dates:
Received: 25-03-2024
Accepted: 18-05-2024
Published: 12-07-2024

Keywords:
Artificial Intelligence, Business Innovation, Business Management Intellectual Property Rights, Ethical Considerations.

How to Cite:
Bhagra, L., Singh, T. (2024) Leveraging Artificial Intelligence In Business Activation: Legal and Ipr Perspectives. DME Journal of Management, 5(1), 24-33. doi: 10.53361/dmejm.v5i01.04

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become an integral part of modern business processes, offering transformative potential across various industries. (Burns, E. (n.d.). What is artificial intelligence? Retrieved from https://searchenterpriseai.techtarget.com/definition/AI-Artificial-Intelligence). Its increasing role is evident in its ability to automate complex tasks, analyse large datasets, and provide insights that can lead to more informed decision-making. (Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Press.) However, as AI continues to be integrated into business operations, it raises significant legal and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) challenges that must be addressed.

The purpose of this paper is to delve into these challenges, particularly

DME
Journal of Management
e-ISSN: 2582-9432

focusing on the legal and IPR aspects of AI integration into business models. The narrative around AI's generative capabilities and their implications for authorship and ownership is evolving. With current laws ambiguous on whether AI's creations qualify for patents or copyrights, there is a risk that companies may hold back on developing AI technologies that could be societally beneficial. (Hassabis, D., Kumaran, D., Summerfield, C., & Botvinick, M. (2017). Neuroscience-inspired artificial intelligence. *Neuron*). This ambiguity can hinder innovation if companies fear their developments will not be adequately protected under current IPR frameworks.

Moreover, as AI systems become more capable of generating original content, determining who holds the copyright, whether it's the developer of the AI system or the system itself, becomes a contentious issue. This ambiguity can lead to legal challenges around authorship and ownership of AI-generated works. Ownership issues extend beyond just generated content; they also encompass the proprietary algorithms themselves as well as training data sets used by machine learning models. These components may fall under different areas of law such as patent rights for algorithms or trade secret protections for data sets.

For instance, a former Google employee has recently highlighted concerns about the disclosure of business ideas by OpenAI, which were originally developed at Google. According to Jacob Steeves, a former engineer on Google's Brain team, "*OpenAI has been openly sharing ideas that Google had been cautious about releasing due to fears of them being copied by competitors*". (*Ex-Google Employee Launches Open-Sourced AI Protocol to Challenge Tech Giants | Fox Business*, n.d.) Steeves has since co-founded the Opentensor Foundation and launched Bittensor, a decentralized AI protocol intended to challenge the dominance of tech giants like Google and OpenAI by promoting open-source AI development. In an interview with Geoffrey Hinton, often referred to as the "Godfather of AI", Hinton discusses various aspects of AI development and its implications, he mentions that Google has historically been cautious about releasing certain AI developments publicly. He implies that this caution is due to concerns over competitors potentially copying their ideas. Hinton highlights that some of these ideas were later disclosed by OpenAI, leading to tensions over intellectual property and competitive advantage.

This brings us back to the big question, how can legal frameworks evolve to accommodate the unique challenges posed by AI in terms of authorship, ownership, and competitive disclosure while promoting innovation and protecting societal interests? This paper will explore these issues in depth, examining existing legal precedents, proposed amendments to IPR laws, and case studies from industry practices. By addressing these challenges comprehensively, this paper aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on how best to integrate AI into business operations while navigating the intricate landscape of legal and IPR considerations.

Artificial Intelligence in Business Activation

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has become a crucial component in modern business, revolutionizing how organizations operate. (Arsénio, A., Serra, H., Francisco, R., Nabais, F., Andrade, J., & Serrano, E. (2014). Internet of intelligent things: Bringing artificial intelligence into things and communication networks. In K. Dimitrov, M. A. Rodríguez, S. Malinowski, M. S. Obaidat, & H. Unger (Eds.), *Inter-cooperative collective intelligence: Techniques and applications*. Springer). Although AI has its roots in the 1950s, its practical applications in business began in the 1980s with expert systems. The acceleration of AI's success is largely attributed to advancements in computing power. AI's ability to process vast amounts of data, known as Big Data, has made it invaluable for businesses, enhancing decision-making processes. Big Data Analytics enables companies to transform scattered information into actionable business insights, leading to improved strategies and operations. AI encompasses a broad set of techniques aimed at mimicking human intelligence. (Corea, F. (2016). Key data challenges to strategic business decisions. In *Big data analytics: A management perspective*. Springer). According to Russell and Norvig, AI can be categorized into four

main approaches: systems that think like humans, systems that think rationally, systems that act like humans, and systems that act rationally. (De Mauro, A., Greco, M., & Grimaldi, M. (2019). Understanding big data through a systematic literature review: The ITMI model. *International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making*).

Integrating AI and Machine Learning (ML) models in businesses has facilitated a better understanding of complex systems and automated decision-making. (Campbell, C., Sands, S., Ferraro, C., Tsao, H. Y. J., & Mavrommatis, A. (2020). From data to action: How marketers can leverage AI. *Business Horizons*). Big Data, characterised by its volume, variety, and velocity, has transformed managerial practices by recognising it as a strategic resource. (De Mauro, A., Greco, M., Grimaldi, M., & Ritala, P. (2018). Human resources for big data professions: A systematic classification of job roles and required skill sets. *Information Processing & Management*). AI-driven predictive analysis, powered by ML, supports managerial and marketing efforts, enhancing business strategies and consumer behaviour insights. The primary challenge lies in developing AI algorithms that bridge the gap between human intelligence and machine capabilities. Combining mathematical, statistical, and optimization techniques with AI can create intelligent systems that transform organizational structures, processes, and services, making AI a vital tool in the modern business landscape. (Dogan, O., & Gurcan, O. F. (2019). Applications of big data and green IoT-enabling technologies for smart cities. In H. S. Noor Al-Deen, & J. Allen (Eds.), *Handbook of research on big data and the IoT*. IGI Global).

Artificial intelligence (AI) has profoundly influenced the dynamics of organizational operations and managerial strategies, leading to notable implications for businesses. (Davenport, T. H. (2018). From analytics to artificial intelligence. *Journal of Business Analytics, 1*(2), 73-80). Central to these practices is the adoption of data-centric decision-making, the exploration of process mining, and the integration of automation. Since its inception in the 1980s, AI has been a cornerstone in enhancing Decision Support Systems (DSS), transforming complex data into intelligible insights. The application of AI within organizations is diverse, it streamlines monotonous tasks, deciphers complex data patterns, and improves human interaction via intelligent chatbot interfaces, fostering a synergistic alliance between humans and machines, with the latter undertaking tasks that demand sophisticated cognitive capabilities.

Talking about Human Resource Management (HRM), AI acts as a driving force behind digitalization, augmenting efficiency and cost-effectiveness. It revolutionizes service-desk operations by analysing extensive data sets. The deployment of AI bolsters productivity while simultaneously curtailing costs associated with project implementation. With the escalating importance of data science and AI, corporations are recalibrating their organizational frameworks to accommodate new roles such as Data Scientists and Analytics Developers. Nonetheless, this technological progression is accompanied by ethical dilemmas related to privacy and data governance.

In social contexts, AI plays a pivotal role in marketing research by elucidating consumer behaviours. Methodologies such as fuzzy logic and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) are crucial in managing uncertainties within marketing approaches. AI refines traditional practices by providing deep insights that assist in understanding consumer preferences and facilitating customized offerings through AI-driven predictive analytics. Furthermore, AI solidifies business-consumer relationships by personalizing interactions, thereby making them more engaging and enduring.

Challenges and Ethical Considerations

To begin with, when it comes to ethical considerations, a Google spokesperson stated that AI Overviews are designed to conceptually align with top web results, aiming to help users get a sense of available information rather than replace web content. However, the structure and wording of these Overviews can reduce attribution and discourage users from clicking through to the sources. (*Ex-Google Employee Launches Open-Sourced AI Protocol to Challenge Tech Giants | Fox Business*, n.d.) Google claims that AI Overview links

garner more clicks than traditional web listings. The comparison excludes featured snippets, which likely have higher click-through rates. (V Kurmanath, 2024) Two authors, Mona Awad and Paul Tremblay, have filed a lawsuit against OpenAI, claiming the company used their copyrighted novels to train ChatGPT without permission. They argue that ChatGPT generated highly accurate summaries of their books, suggesting the AI was trained in their works. This case explores the legality of using copyrighted material for AI training. OpenAI's secrecy about its training data and the concept of "fair use" are central issues. The lawsuit seeks damages for all US-based authors affected. The outcome could shape future AI and copyright practices.(Creamer, 2023)

In another case, Microsoft, GitHub, and OpenAI are facing a class-action lawsuit alleging copyright infringement through their AI-powered coding assistant, GitHub Copilot. The suit claims Copilot reproduces open-source code without proper attribution, violating licenses requiring credit to creators. Filed by programmer and lawyer Matthew Butterick, the lawsuit challenges AI accountability under the law, highlighting concerns about the broader impact on generative AI.(Mac, 2023) The lawsuit questions the close relationship between Microsoft and OpenAI, suggesting a collective scheme. Butterick argues for responsible AI development, drawing parallels to Napster's illegal but transformative impact on music, and advocating for fairer AI tools. The lawsuit aims to protect open-source licenses, potentially reshaping AI development practices. It seeks to enforce proper attribution and respect for licensing agreements. If successful, it could influence similar cases in other AI domains. The case's progression depends on class-action status approval and subsequent legal proceedings.(Mac, 2023).

Former White House domestic policy adviser Susan Rice, known for her involvement in AI safety deals, also has highlighted the need for AI developers to safeguard their technologies from China. Rice warned of China's potential exploitation of US AI advancements, citing instances of attempted theft of trade secrets. Concerns extend to the implications for national security and the global AI arms race, with worries about deepfakes and bioweapon development. However, not all developers prioritize protecting their AI models. Some argue that current models aren't sophisticated enough to warrant secrecy. Yet, legal experts and government officials stress the importance of securing AI secrets, proposing measures such as limiting access to sensitive materials and encouraging staff to report suspicious behaviour. ("Is AI Just Theft Under Another Name?," 2024)

Interest in securing AI models is increasing, with think tanks like RAND identifying potential vulnerabilities and offering recommendations. Executive orders and export controls aim to regulate AI development and protect US interests. Google and OpenAI acknowledge the need for both open and closed models, with efforts to enhance security measures and governance frameworks. RAND CEO Jason Matheny echoes Rice's concerns, emphasizing the national security implications of AI theft by China. (*US National Security Experts Warn AI Giants Aren't Doing Enough to Protect Their Secrets | WIRED*, n.d.) He suggests that the US needs to invest more in cybersecurity to counter such threats effectively. However, China has denied accusations of theft, labelling them as baseless. In a notable case, a former Google engineer is charged with stealing AI chip secrets for China, highlighting the challenges companies face in protecting proprietary data. Despite strict safeguards, the engineer allegedly evaded detection by using tactics like converting files to PDFs and uploading them to personal accounts. (*US National Security Experts Warn AI Giants Aren't Doing Enough to Protect Their Secrets | WIRED*, n.d.) Overall, the debate underscores the complex dynamics of AI development, security, and geopolitics, with stakeholders navigating between innovation, transparency, and safeguarding national interests.

Quoting another example, the New York Times has filed a lawsuit against OpenAI and Microsoft for copyright infringement, alleging that millions of its articles were used to train automated chatbots, which now compete with the Times as a source of information. The suit seeks "billions of dollars in statutory and actual damages" and demands the destruction of any chatbot models using copyrighted material from the Times. Despite initial attempts

at resolution, talks between the parties failed to produce an agreement. This lawsuit could shape the legal landscape for generative AI technologies, with implications for the news industry, as companies like OpenAI attract substantial funding while using various online texts to train chatbots. The Times accuses the defendants of "free-riding" on its journalistic investment and eroding its audience base. ("Why The New York Times Is Suing OpenAI and Microsoft, What It Could Mean for AI and Copyright," 2023)

Concerns about intellectual property rights in AI have led to various lawsuits, with notable instances involving Sarah Silverman's memoir and Getty Images' copyrighted visual materials. The Times' lawsuit also highlights the potential financial harm caused by chatbots generating content from its articles without proper attribution. While some tech firms express concerns over potential copyright liabilities stifling innovation, The Times argues that AI-generated content could damage its brand and lead to misinformation being attributed to the publication. The lawsuit underscores the importance of protecting independent journalism and the potential societal consequences of diminished news production. ("Why The New York Times Is Suing OpenAI and Microsoft, What It Could Mean for AI and Copyright," 2023) As the legal battle unfolds, it raises questions about the balance between protecting intellectual property and fostering AI innovation, with significant implications for both media organizations and technology companies.

Incorporating AI into business operations presents several challenges and ethical considerations, such as addressing bias in AI algorithms, ensuring data privacy and protection, managing AI risks, and implementing transparency and accountability. (Davenport, T., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*).

AI integration in businesses; Legal and Ethical Considerations

Automated customer service

AI has significantly advanced in automating customer service, providing quick and efficient responses to customer inquiries. However, many AI systems draw on large datasets, often sourced from existing customer service scripts and interactions without proper authorization, leading to concerns about intellectual property theft.

Data analysis and insights

Organizations use AI to analyse vast amounts of data to gain valuable insights and make informed decisions. According to Harvard Business School, (Davenport, T. H., & Harris, J. G. (2007). *Competing on analytics: The new science of winning*. Harvard Business School Press), this practice sometimes involves using proprietary datasets without consent, resulting in unauthorized use of sensitive information and competitive intelligence.

HR and recruitment automation

AI streamlines the recruitment process by automating candidate screening and selection. These systems often utilize datasets compiled from various sources, sometimes without the candidates' knowledge, raising ethical concerns about privacy and data ownership.

Operational efficiency

AI optimizes various business processes to improve efficiency and reduce costs. In doing so, it often leverages existing patented technologies and business methods, which can lead to disputes over intellectual property rights and innovation theft.

Personalized employee experience

AI tailors the employee experience by personalizing training and development programs. (Giatsoglou, M., Vozalis, M. G., Diamantaras, K., Vakali, A., Sarigiannidis, G., & Chatzisavvas, K. C. (2017). Sentiment analysis leveraging emotions and word embeddings. *Expert Systems with Applications, 69*). This personalization often depends on vast amounts of data gathered from previous training modules and employee interactions, sometimes without clear consent, leading to privacy issues and potential misuse of intellectual property.

Content generation

AI is used to generate content for marketing, journalism, and entertainment. For instance, AI

models can produce articles, music, and artwork by analysing and reformatting existing copyrighted materials, resulting in disputes over originality and ownership. (Deka, G. C. (2014). Big data predictive and prescriptive analytics. In S. N. Singh, & N. Mohanty (Eds.), *Handbook of research on cloud infrastructures for big data analytics*. IGI Global).

Cybersecurity threat detection

AI enhances cybersecurity by detecting threats and vulnerabilities. However, the development of these AI systems frequently involves analysing proprietary security protocols and data from various companies, which can be considered a form of intellectual property theft. (Jeschke, S., Brecher, C., Meisen, T., Özdemir, D., & Eschert, T. (2017). Industrial internet of Things and cyber manufacturing systems. In S. Jeschke, C. Brecher).

Customer experience analytics

AI improves customer experience by analysing customer behaviour and preferences. (Airoldi, E. M., Blei, D. M., Fienberg, S. E., & Xing, E. P. (2008). Mixed membership stochastic blockmodels. *Journal of Machine Learning Research, 9*, 1981-2014). This analysis often uses data collected from various platforms and services, sometimes without explicit consent, raising ethical issues related to data privacy and intellectual property.

As a more relatable instance, personal assistants like Siri and Alexa have made AI a part of our daily lives, relying heavily on vast datasets that include user interactions and proprietary technologies. Companies also use AI for various applications, such as automated content generation, which often involves rephrasing or directly using existing copyrighted material without proper attribution. Similarly, AI-driven innovation in self-driving cars and robotics frequently borrows from existing patents and research, leading to disputes over the rightful ownership of the underlying ideas and technologies. (Contissa, G., Lagioia, F., & Sartor, G. (2017). The ethical knob: Ethically-customisable automated vehicles and the law. *Artificial Intelligence and Law, 25*(3), 365-378). As AI continues to permeate various aspects of business operations, addressing these challenges and ethical considerations becomes increasingly crucial to ensure fair and responsible use of AI technologies.

Ipr Issues in ai with Emphasis on Authorship and Ownership

Incorporating AI into business operations presents unique challenges and ethical considerations, especially regarding intellectual property and innovation protection. (Haugeland, J. (1989). *Artificial intelligence: The very idea*. MIT Press.) AI-driven businesses must be proactive in safeguarding their ideas and technologies against theft and unauthorized use.

Patentability of ai algorithms and inventions

The patentability of AI algorithms and inventions poses significant challenges. While patents can protect novel inventions, determining the novelty and non-obviousness of AI algorithms is complex. AI technologies often build on existing algorithms, making it difficult to discern what constitutes a truly novel invention. Moreover, the rapid pace of AI development means that what is novel today may quickly become standard tomorrow. Businesses must navigate these complexities to protect their innovations without stifling further development. (Delen, D., & Crossland, M. D. (2008). Seeding the survey and analysis of research literature with text mining. *Expert Systems with Applications*.). For instance, companies developing proprietary AI models for customer service automation or data analysis must ensure that their unique algorithms and processes are adequately protected under patent law to prevent competitors from copying or stealing these innovations.

Copyright implications for aI-generated content

Reiterating as discussed above, AI-generated content raises intricate copyright issues. Traditionally, copyright law protects works created by humans, but as AI systems like ChatGPT generate text, images, and even music, determining authorship becomes problematic. (V Kurmanath, 2024). Companies utilizing AI for content creation, such as marketing firms using AI to generate promotional material, must

be vigilant about potential copyright infringements and ensure that their AI training data complies with copyright laws to avoid legal repercussions. (Galanos, V. (2019). Exploring expanding expertise: Artificial intelligence as an existential threat and the role of prestigious commentators, 2014–2018. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*).

Trade secrets and confidentiality related to ai models

Mentioning another IPR**,** the protection of trade secrets and confidentiality is crucial for AI models, especially given the competitive advantage they provide. Instances like the alleged theft of AI chip secrets from Google by a former engineer for China underscore the importance of robust security measures. (*US National Security Experts Warn AI Giants Aren't Doing Enough to Protect Their Secrets | WIRED*, n.d.) Businesses must implement stringent policies to safeguard their proprietary AI technologies and prevent intellectual property theft. This includes controlling access to sensitive information, regularly monitoring for suspicious activities, and fostering a culture of confidentiality among employees. (Hair, J. F. (2007). Knowledge creation in marketing: The role of predictive analytics. *European Business Review.*) For companies developing cutting-edge AI applications, maintaining the confidentiality of their models and training data is essential to protect their competitive edge and avoid industrial espionage. (Ebner, K., Bühnen, T., & Urbach, N. (2014). Think big with big data: Identifying suitable big data strategies in corporate environments. In *2014 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. IEEE.)

Analysing authorship of ai-generated work

Determining the authorship of AI-generated work is a complex issue. If an AI system generates a piece of content, it is unclear who should be credited as the author. The programmer who created the AI, the user who prompted the AI, or the AI itself? Legal systems currently do not recognize AI as an entity capable of holding authorship rights. (Studley, J. (2018). Juristic personhood for sacred natural sites: A potential means for protecting nature). This ambiguity affects businesses that rely on AI for content generation, such as media companies using AI to produce articles or art. Clear guidelines are needed to establish who owns the rights to AI-generated works, ensuring creators and businesses can protect their intellectual property adequately.

By addressing these issues, businesses can effectively integrate AI into their operations while protecting their intellectual property and fostering innovation.

Recommendations and Best Practices

Business activation and protection against idea theft & legal frameworks for ai innovations

Establishing robust legal frameworks for patenting AI inventions, copyrighting AI-generated content, and protecting trade secrets is paramount to ensuring businesses can secure their innovations and prevent competitors from unauthorized exploitation. Additionally, implementing stringent security measures, such as encryption, access controls, and continuous monitoring, is crucial to safeguard AI models and sensitive data against unauthorized access or breaches. Lastly, transparency and accountability in AI development processes are essential for maintaining trust with customers and stakeholders, as well as mitigating ethical and legal risks.

Comprehensive legal framework for ai integration

Developing a conceptual model for AI integration involves creating a structured approach to embed artificial intelligence into the core functions of business processes. This model serves as a blueprint that guides organizations in harnessing the power of AI technologies while ensuring ethical considerations are met. Here's an exploration of such a framework:

STEP I: understanding business objectives and ai capabilities

aligning AI with strategic business objectives is the first step in effective integration. This requires a clear understanding of AI's capabilities and limitations. Businesses must identify areas where AI can add

value, whether through improving efficiency, enhancing customer experiences, or creating new products and services. Data Management AI systems rely heavily on data. A robust data management strategy is essential, encompassing data collection, storage, processing, and analysis. Ensuring high-quality data is crucial as it directly impacts AI algorithm performance. AI solutions necessitate the right infrastructure, including both hardware and software components such as servers, storage systems, and AI platforms. Scalability is a key consideration to accommodate growing data and computational needs.

STEP II: talent and expertise specialized skills and knowledge

are required for AI integration. Organizations must either develop in-house expertise or partner with external vendors for the necessary technical support. Continuous learning and development are vital as AI technology rapidly evolves.

STEP III: ethical considerations

are increasingly important as AI systems become more prevalent. This includes ensuring transparency in AI decision-making processes and preventing biases or discrimination. Data Privacy Protecting personal and sensitive information is paramount in AI initiatives. Businesses must comply with data protection regulations such as GDPR and ensure AI systems uphold privacy standards. Security AI systems must be secure from cyber threats. This involves implementing security measures at every layer of the AI system, from data processing to algorithm execution. Transparency in AI operations builds stakeholder trust. It involves being open about how AI systems work, the data they use, and the rationale behind their decisions.

STEP IV: governance

A governance framework is necessary to oversee the entire lifecycle of AI systems within an organization. This includes setting policies for the development, deployment, monitoring, and continuous improvement of AI applications.

STEP V: integration with existing systems, monitoring and evaluation

AI must be integrated with existing business systems and processes. Careful planning ensures compatibility and seamless operation. Continuous monitoring of AI systems ensures they perform as intended. Regular evaluations help identify areas for improvement and maintain alignment with business goals. Another essential is stakeholder engagement, engaging stakeholders is crucial for successful AI integration. This includes employees interacting with the AI system, customers affected by it, and regulators overseeing compliance.

Policy Advancement for Governments

To foster innovation while protecting intellectual property and ensuring ethical AI deployment, the following policy advancements are recommended for government consideration:

Amendments to the existing IPR framework

Establishment of a National AI Patent office, a dedicated office to handle AI-related patents, staffed with experts capable of evaluating the novelty and non-obviousness of AI inventions. This office should provide clear guidelines on patent eligibility and streamline the patent application process for AI technologies. *AI Copyright Act*, enacting a legislation that specifically addresses the copyright implications of AI-generated content. This act should define authorship, ownership, and fair use of AI-generated works, ensuring that both creators and users of AI technologies are protected and incentivized. *Trade Secret Protection Regulations***,** strengthen trade secret protection laws to cover AI models and algorithms. Implement stringent measures for confidentiality agreements, access controls, and legal recourse for trade secret misappropriation, ensuring that companies can safeguard their proprietary AI technologies.

Ethical ai development standards

Develop and enforce standards for ethical AI development, emphasizing transparency, accountability, and fairness. (Huber, P. W., & Litan, R. E. (Eds.). (1991). *The Liability Maze: The Impact of Liability Law on Safety and Innovation*.) This includes guidelines for AI training data, decision-making processes, and mechanisms to address

biases and discrimination. Enhance *data privacy laws* to address the unique challenges posed by AI. This includes updating regulations to ensure AI systems comply with data protection standards and safeguarding individuals' privacy rights in the age of AI.

AI security framework

Establish a comprehensive AI security framework that mandates robust security measures for AI systems. This framework should cover data encryption, access controls, and continuous monitoring to protect AI systems from cyber threats. AI Governance and Oversight Body: Create a national body to oversee AI governance and ethical standards. (Hudson, V. M. (2019). *Artificial intelligence and international politics*. Routledge.) This body would be responsible for setting policies, monitoring compliance, and providing guidance on best practices for AI deployment across various industries.

By implementing these policy advancements, governments can ensure that AI technologies are developed and deployed in a manner that promotes innovation, protects intellectual property, and upholds ethical standards. This approach will help create a balanced and sustainable AI ecosystem that benefits businesses, consumers, and society at large.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this paper underscores the critical nexus between Artificial Intelligence (AI) integration and the legal and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) landscape within business contexts. Through a comprehensive examination of contemporary literature and real-world case studies, it elucidates the multifaceted challenges and opportunities inherent in AI adoption. From patentability and copyright issues to data privacy concerns and ethical dilemmas, the study unveils the intricate web of legal considerations that businesses must navigate when incorporating AI technologies.

The proposed conceptual model for AI integration offers a structured approach to addressing these complexities, emphasizing the paramount importance of data privacy, security, and transparency. Furthermore, by delving into debates surrounding authorship, ownership, and accountability, the paper sheds light on the evolving nature of IPR frameworks in the era of AI.

As businesses grapple with these legal and ethical conundrums, the paper advocates for ongoing legislative updates to ensure alignment with rapid technological advancements. Ultimately, the pragmatic recommendations provided serve as a guide for businesses seeking to harness the transformative potential of AI while mitigating legal risks. In essence, this research underscores the imperative for a harmonious coalescence of AI innovation and legal frameworks to foster responsible and sustainable business activation in the digital age.

REFERENCES

Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Press.

Airoldi, E. M., Blei, D. M., Fienberg, S. E., & Xing, E. P. (2008). Mixed membership stochastic blockmodels. *Journal of Machine Learning Research, 9*, 1981-2014.

Arsénio, A., Serra, H., Francisco, R., Nabais, F., Andrade, J., & Serrano, E. (2014). Internet of intelligent things: Bringing artificial intelligence into things and communication networks. In K. Dimitrov, M. A. Rodríguez, S. Malinowski, M. S. Obaidat, & H. Unger (Eds.), *Inter-cooperative collective intelligence: Techniques and applications*. Springer.

Burns, E. (n.d.). What is artificial intelligence? Retrieved from https://searchenterpriseai.techtarget.com/definition/AI-Artificial-Intelligence

Campbell, C., Sands, S., Ferraro, C., Tsao, H. Y. J., & Mavrommatis, A. (2020). From data to action: How marketers can leverage AI. *Business Horizons, 63*(2).

Contissa, G., Lagioia, F., & Sartor, G. (2017). The ethical knob: Ethically-customisable automated vehicles and the law. *Artificial Intelligence and Law, 25*(3), 365-378.

Corea, F. (2016). Key data challenges to strategic business decisions. In *Big data analytics: A management perspective*. Springer.

Creamer, E. (2023, July 5). Authors file a lawsuit against OpenAI for unlawfully 'ingesting' their books. *The Guardian*. https://www.theguardian.com/books/2023/jul/05/authors-file-a-lawsuit-against-openai-for-unlawfully-ingesting-their-books

Davenport, T. H. (2018). From analytics to artificial intelligence. *Journal of Business Analytics, 1*(2), 73-80.

Davenport, T. H., & Harris, J. G. (2007). *Competing on analytics: The new science of winning*. Harvard Business School Press.

Davenport, T., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing.

Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 48(1).

De Mauro, A., Greco, M., & Grimaldi, M. (2019). Understanding big data through a systematic literature review: The ITMI model. *International Journal of Information Technology & Decision Making, 18*(4).

De Mauro, A., Greco, M., Grimaldi, M., & Ritala, P. (2018). Human resources for big data professions: A systematic classification of job roles and required skill sets. *Information Processing & Management, 54*(5).

Deka, G. C. (2014). Big data predictive and prescriptive analytics. In S. N. Singh, & N. Mohanty (Eds.), *Handbook of research on cloud infrastructures for big data analytics*. IGI Global.

Delen, D., & Crossland, M. D. (2008). Seeding the survey and analysis of research literature with text mining. *Expert Systems with Applications, 34*(3).

Dogan, O., & Gurcan, O. F. (2019). Applications of big data and green IoT-enabling technologies for smart cities. In H. S. Noor Al-Deen, & J. Allen (Eds.), *Handbook of research on big data and the IoT*. IGI Global.

Ebner, K., Bühnen, T., & Urbach, N. (2014). Think big with big data: Identifying suitable big data strategies in corporate environments. In *2014 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*. IEEE.

Ex-Google employee launches open-sourced AI protocol to challenge tech giants. (n.d.). *Fox Business*. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from https://www.foxbusiness.com/technology/ex-google-employee-launches-open-sourced-ai-protocol-challenge-tech-giants

Galanos, V. (2019). Exploring expanding expertise: Artificial intelligence as an existential threat and the role of prestigious commentators, 2014–2018. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 31*(4).

Giatsoglou, M., Vozalis, M. G., Diamantaras, K., Vakali, A., Sarigiannidis, G., & Chatzisavvas, K. C. (2017). Sentiment analysis leveraging emotions and word embeddings. *Expert Systems with Applications, 69*.

Hair, J. F. (2007). Knowledge creation in marketing: The role of predictive analytics. *European Business Review*.

Hassabis, D., Kumaran, D., Summerfield, C., & Botvinick, M. (2017). Neuroscience-inspired artificial intelligence. *Neuron, 95*(2).

Haugeland, J. (1989). *Artificial intelligence: The very idea*. MIT Press.

Huber, P. W., & Litan, R. E. (Eds.). (1991). *The Liability Maze: The Impact of Liability Law on Safety and Innovation*.

Hudson, V. M. (2019). *Artificial intelligence and international politics*. Routledge.

Is AI just theft under another name? (2024, February 9). *Commentary Magazine*. https://www.commentary.org/articles/james-meigs/ai-openai-chatgpt-copyright-theft/

Jeschke, S., Brecher, C., Meisen, T., Özdemir, D., & Eschert, T. (2017). Industrial internet of things and cyber manufacturing systems. In S. Jeschke, C. Brecher

Kurmanath, V. K. (2024, April 22). Google will not claim ownership of AI-generated content. *BusinessLine*.https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/info-tech/google-will-not-claim-ownership-of-ai-generated-content/article68091327.ece

Mac, R. (2023, December 27). The Times sues OpenAI and Microsoft over A.I. use of copyrighted work. *The New York Times*. https://www.nytimes.com/2023/12/27/business/media/new-york-times-open-ai-microsoft-lawsuit.html

Opinion: The real wolf menacing the news business? AI. (2024, February 6). *The Washington Post*. https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2024/02/06/ai-news-business-links-google-chatgpt/

Sehgal, D. R. (2021, April 14). Artificial Intelligence in IPR – a door to future. Retrieved from https://blog.ipleaders.in/artificial-intelligence-ipr-door-future/

Studley, J. (2018). Juristic personhood for sacred natural sites: A potential means for protecting nature.

US national security experts warn AI giants aren't doing enough to protect their secrets. (n.d.). *WIRED*. Retrieved June 17, 2024, from https://www.wired.com/story/national-security-experts-warn-ai-giants-secrets/

Why The New York Times is suing OpenAI and Microsoft, what it could mean for AI and copyright. (2023, December 29). *The Indian Express*. https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/explained-sci-tech/in-nyt-vs-openai-and-microsoft-a-larger-debate-around-ai-and-copyright-9086964/